

**M54 to M6 Link Road
TR010054**

**8.8 LIU(H) Statement of Common
Ground with Barry & Valerie Jones**

APFP Regulation 5(2)(q)

Planning Act 2008

Infrastructure Planning (Applications: Prescribed
Forms and Procedure) Regulations 2009

Volume 8

April 2021

Infrastructure Planning

Planning Act 2008

**The Infrastructure Planning
(Applications: Prescribed Forms and
Procedure) Regulations 2009**

**M54 to M6 Link Road
Development Consent Order 202[]**

**8.8 LIU(H) Statement of Common Ground with
Barry & Valerie Jones**

Regulation Number	Regulation 5(2)(q)
Planning Inspectorate Scheme Reference	TR010054
Application Document Reference	8.8 LIU(H)
Author	M54 to M6 Link Road Project Team and Highways England

Version	Date	Status of Version
1 (P02)	August 2020	Draft for landowner comment
2 (P04)	October 2020	Issue to the ExA for Deadline 1
3 (P05)	January 2021	Issue to the ExA for Deadline 6
4 (P06)	April 2021	Final version (Unsigned)



STATEMENT OF COMMON GROUND

This Statement of Common Ground has been prepared and agreed by (1) Highways England Company Limited and (2) Barry & Valerie Jones.

Signed.....
Andrew Kelly
Project Manager
on behalf of Highways England
Date: [DATE]

Signed.....
[NAME]
[POSITION]
on behalf of Barry & Valerie Jones
Date: [DATE]

Table of contents

Chapter	Pages
1 Introduction	1
1.1 Purpose of this document.....	1
1.2 Parties to this Statement of Common Ground	1
1.3 Terminology	2
2 Record of Engagement	3
3 Issues	7
3.1 Introduction and General Matters.....	7
3.2 Issues.....	7
Appendix A - Details of personnel referenced in this SoCG	20

List of Tables

Table 2-1: Record of Engagement.....	3
Table 3-1: Issues	7

List of Appendices

Appendix A: Details of personnel referenced in this SoCG

1 Introduction

1.1 Purpose of this document

- 1.1.1 This Statement of Common Ground ('SoCG') has been prepared in respect of an application for a Development Consent Order ('the Application') under section 37 of the Planning Act 2008 ('PA 2008') for the proposed M54 to M6 Link Road ('the Scheme') made by Highways England Company Limited ('Highways England' or 'HE') to the Secretary of State for Transport ('Secretary of State').
- 1.1.2 This SoCG does not seek to replicate information which is available elsewhere within the Application documents. All Application documents are available on the Planning Inspectorate website.
- 1.1.3 This SoCG has been produced to confirm to the Examining Authority where agreement has been reached between the parties to it, and where agreement has not (yet) been reached. SoCGs are an established means in the planning process of allowing all parties to identify and so focus on specific issues that may need to be addressed during the examination.
- 1.1.4 **This SoCG has been drafted by Highways England based on correspondence with Barry and Valerie Jones during the development of the Scheme.**
- 1.1.5 **The first draft of this SoCG was provided to Barry and Valerie Jones on 19 August 2020, but no comments were received. A revised draft was issued to Barry and Valerie Jones on 30 October 2020 and that is the version that was submitted for Deadline 1. Comments on this were received on 10 December 2020, which were incorporated into the draft. As no comments have been received on the version submitted at Deadline 6, this version of the SoCG represents Highways England's understanding of the respective positions but is not a signed or agreed SoCG.**

1.2 Parties to this Statement of Common Ground

- 1.2.1 This SoCG has been prepared by (1) Highways England as the applicant and (2) Barry & Valerie Jones (BJ, VJ or 'Landowner').
- 1.2.2 Highways England became the Government-owned Strategic Highways Company on 1 April 2015. It is the highway authority in England for the strategic road network and has the necessary powers and duties to operate, manage, maintain and enhance the network. Regulatory powers remain with the Secretary of State. The legislation establishing Highways England made provision for all legal rights and obligations of the Highways Agency, including in respect of the Application, to be conferred upon or assumed by Highways England.
- 1.2.3 Barry & Valerie Jones are the freehold owners of plot 6/9 as identified on the Land Plans (Application documents reference 2.2) and in the Book of Reference (Application document reference 4.3). There have been no plot changes as a consequence of the Scheme design changes accepted on 29 October 2020.

1.3 Terminology

- 1.3.1 In the tables in the Issues chapter of this SoCG, 'Not Agreed' indicates a final position, 'Under discussion' indicates where points will be the subject of ongoing discussion wherever possible to resolve, or refine, the extent of disagreement between the parties. 'Agreed' indicates where the issue has been resolved.
- 1.3.2 It can be taken that any matters not specifically referred to in the Issues chapter of this SoCG are not of material interest or relevance to Barry & Valerie Jones, and therefore have not been the subject of any discussions between the parties. As such, those matters can be read as agreed, only to the extent that they are either not of material interest or relevance to Barry & Valerie Jones.

2 Record of Engagement

2.1.1 A summary of the meetings and correspondence that has taken place between Highways England and Barry & Valerie Jones in relation to the Application is outlined in Table 2-1.

Table 2-1: Record of Engagement

Date	Form of correspondence	Key topics discussed and key outcomes
22/02/2019	Meeting with landowners (BJ & VJ), TB, SD & SB	VJ confirmed she owns the un-registered land in question and the land is rented for equestrian purposes, with no formal agreement being in place. BJ advised that the land is predominately made up of sand and gravel. Ground investigation surveys discussed and agreed in principle subject to receiving and signing the relevant licence agreement.
12/04/2019	Letter from Gateley Hamer to BJ & VJ	Letter sent to BJ & VJ requesting land access by agreement to complete ground investigation surveys.
23/05/2019	Letter pack from Gateley Hamer to BK & VJ	S42 consultation pack. Included Land Interest Plans showing areas of land ownership, areas of land that may be required for the Scheme and the Order limits. The draft Environmental Masterplan was also made available online, indicating initial thoughts on areas required for environmental mitigation.
04/07/2019	Letter from BK to HE	S42 Consultation response received.
06/09/2019	Meeting with BJ & VJ, BK (NB & NWD) and TB, JH, SD & SB	TB presented current scheme, re-cap of consultation including consultation response received from Bruton Knowles and handover of responsibilities to JH. NB advised that his clients are closely associated with Nurton Developments and wished to reserve the right to comment during the meeting until he had time to consult with both parties.
03/11/2019	Letter from Gateley Hamer to BJ & VJ	Land by agreement letter.
11/11/2019	Letter sent from Gateley Hamer to BJ & VJ	Supplementary consultation pack sent including draft Land Plans and links to General Arrangement Plans and a revised draft Environmental Masterplan.
21/11/2019	Letter pack from Gateley Hamer to BJ & VJ	S42 consultation pack (in regard to unregistered land). Included Land Interest Plans showing areas of land ownership and areas of land that may be required for the Scheme and the Order limits. The draft Environmental Masterplan was also made available online, indicating

Date	Form of correspondence	Key topics discussed and key outcomes
		initial thoughts on areas required for environmental mitigation.
11/12/2019	Letter from BK to HE	Consultation response received.
24/01/2020	SoCG introductory letter sent	Introductory SoCG letter addressing concerns raised within latest supplementary consultation response.
25/02/2020	Meeting with BK; (PH & JH), AK, SB, RR, SD	<p>Follow up from previous meeting, many of the concerns raised within the consultation response are mirrored by both BK clients due to the location of the affected parcels.</p> <p>SB clarified the entire land holding would be required by the Scheme following the recent supplementary consultation.</p> <p>PH noted that Nurton Developments have an option agreement on the land and outlined the impact the Scheme would have on the proposals.</p> <p>SB advised that a SoCG is currently being drafted to address concerns made within the consultation response and would be sent to both the landowner and agent when available.</p>
09/03/2020	Letter sent from HE to VJ/BJ & BK	S56 letter and notice sent to landowners and representative.
20/03/2020	Letter sent from HE TO VJ, BJ & BK	S56 – Additional letter and notice sent addressed separately to VJ & BJ.
17/04/2020	Letter sent from HE to VJ/BJ & BK, with additional email sent to BK	Updated Section 56 letter and Notice notifying persons of accepted application and extension of relevant representations period due to Covid19.
27/07/2020	Email from SB to IM	Notification of Change request.
19/08/2020	Letter sent from HE to VJ, BJ & BK, with additional email sent to BK	Draft SoCG and environmental mitigation approach sent to landowner and agent.
21/08/2020	Letter sent from HE TO VJ, BJ & BK	Supplementary consultee letter.

Date	Form of correspondence	Key topics discussed and key outcomes
15/09/2020	Letter sent from HE TO VJ, BJ & BK	Follow up letter to landowner and agent concerning land by agreement.
24/09/2020	Call from SB to PH	Call to advise that due to Covid19 restrictions, some members of the project team would be dialling into upcoming meeting on 30/09/2020 and SB questioned if the meeting could take place online due to the requirement to review the previously issued draft SoCG rather than on site.
25/09/2020	Email from PH to SB	Email confirming clients wish for the SoCG review to take place on site with project team dialling in if required.
30/09/2020	Meeting with VJ, BJ, IM and SB	On site meeting to discuss previously issued SoCG, environmental mitigation and Scheme review and update.
30/10/2020	Email from TF to PH & IM	Second issue of the draft SoCG.
11/11/2020	Email from SB to PH	Email to request outstanding comments on both previous and newly issued SoCG.
11/11/2020	Email from PH to SB	PH advised that outstanding comments would be issued by 13/11/2020.
23/11/2020	Email from SB to PH	Request for update regarding outstanding comments relating to the SoCG issued on 03/11/2020
23/11/2020	Email from PH to SB	PH advised comments are in the process of being drafted and will be issued when completed.
04/12/2020	Email and letter from HE & SB to IM & PH	Email and letter pack sent with updated survey schedule, plan and licence agreement for requested surveys.
07/12/2020	Email from SB to PH & IM	Request for update regarding outstanding comments relating to SoCG issued on 30/10/2020.
10/12/2020	Email from PH to SB	SoCG comments received.
07/01/2021	Email from SB to PH	Request for meeting to discuss land access for surveys.
07/01/2021	Email from PH to SB	Advised that a meeting would not be possible. PH suggested that access by agreement would be sensible instead of utilising Statutory Powers.
07/01/2021	Email from SB to SB	Acknowledgement that landowner may now wish to enter into agreement rather than being issued with a 174 Notice. Due to lateness of request, SB advised we would need the

Date	Form of correspondence	Key topics discussed and key outcomes
		licence returning within 7 days to comply with the survey timetable
15/01/2021	Email from PH to SB	Receipt of signed licence agreement
02/02/2021	Email from SB to PH	Update on Licence fee payment from 2019 surveys Update to advise of upcoming revised SoCG to be issued Request for potential meeting dates to discuss SoCG
05/02/2021	Email from PH to SB	Email to advise meeting could be arranged after W/C 15/02/2021 following meeting with client.
12/02/2021	Email from SB to PH & IM	Updated draft SoCG issued and request for meeting.
26/02/2021	Email from PH to SB with project team copied in	PH advised that he needed to review SoCG before arranging a meeting. PH advised of incoming claim as a result of the recent invasive surveys. PH included photos of contractors during the surveys following a site visit.
05/03/2021	Email from SB to PH and project team copied in	SB acknowledged that the project team awaits an update from BK regarding review of the SoCGs and arrangement of meeting. SB responded to all queries raised in previous PH email relating to the recent invasive surveys.
10/03/2021	Email from PH to SB and HE project team	Further response to invasive surveys undertaken and advised that BK would respond to SoCG in due course.
18/03/2021	Call from SB to PH	Voice mail left to request update on review of SoCG and to arrange meeting to discuss.
31/03/2021	Email from PH to JH and HE project team	During communication relating to a separate landholding, PH advised that due to the survey issues his clients were experiencing that his clients are less willing to engage with Highways England to agree a Statement of Common Ground.

2.1.2 It is agreed that this is an accurate record of the key meetings and consultation undertaken between (1) Highways England and (2) Barry & Valerie Jones in relation to the issues addressed in this SoCG.

3 Issues

3.1 Introduction and General Matters

3.1.1 This chapter sets out the ‘issues’ which are agreed, not agreed, or are under discussion between Barry & Valerie Jones and Highways England.

3.2 Issues

3.2.1 The table below shows those matters which have been agreed or yet to be agreed by the parties.

Table 3-1: Issues

Issue	Document (if relevant)	Landowner comment	Highways England Response	Status	Agreement likely ¹ (app)
Extent of land to be acquired	Statutory Consultation response 4 July 2019	The red line boundary in HE’s consultation document contains the entirety of our clients’ landholding, but without detail on whether the land is to be acquired permanently or on a temporary basis. It is also noted that the Scheme includes a drainage pond in the middle of the field, if this is required it is requested that this is located to the edge of the land so that it has a more limited Impact on our clients’ retained land.	There is always a balance to be struck between consulting too early for meaningful comments to be provided and too late so that all design decisions have been made. During the May-July 2019 consultation period the design and environmental mitigation measures were still being finalised so there were some land parcels where proposals were uncertain. The entire landholding is required permanently for construction of the new link road, balancing pond and environmental mitigation.	Under discussion	Medium

¹ Indication on likelihood that the matter will be agreed by the close of the Examination period as rated by the applicant (app) and the Interested Party (IP). Dark green = agreed, Light green = high likelihood of agreement, orange = medium likelihood of agreement, pink = low likelihood of agreement, red = not agreed.

Issue	Document (if relevant)	Landowner comment	Highways England Response	Status	Agreement likely ¹ (app)
			<p>Landowners were consulted on draft Land Plans in November/ December 2019 that provided this detail.</p> <p>Detailed information on each land plot and future uses is provided in the Statement of Reasons [TR010054/APP/4.1]. The Environmental Statement [TR010054/APP/6.1] explains the environmental mitigation measures proposed and the reasons for them.</p> <p>The entire land parcel is proposed to be acquired permanently and therefore would not be returned to the landowner post construction. Therefore, there would be no benefit to the relocation of the balancing pond.</p>		
	<p>Landowner's Relevant Representation – RR-020 & 21</p>	<p>I do not want to sell this property, I have owned the property for 40 years. The land would only being used for drainage purposes, there is a stream at the bottom of the field that could serve the same purpose.</p>	<p>Highways England notes the position of BJ. Highways England considers that the acquisition of this land is required to deliver necessary infrastructure associated with the Scheme proposals and that it would not be possible to deliver this Scheme without acquiring this land.</p>	<p>Under discussion</p>	<p>Medium</p>

Issue	Document (if relevant)	Landowner comment	Highways England Response	Status	Agreement likely ¹ (app)
			<p>Highways England is aware of the stream that is located at the bottom of this field and has taken this into account when formulating the drainage solution for the proposed Scheme.</p> <p>In order to not increase flood risk downstream of the site it is necessary to attenuate surface water runoff in attenuation ponds then discharge water at a controlled rate to the existing stream at the bottom of the field. This land parcel is required to locate one of the required attenuation basins as it needs to be located adjacent to the existing watercourse.</p>		
	<p>Land agent's Relevant Representation – RR-035</p>	<p>Our clients stand to lose all their land because of an area of existing 'ancient woodland' on their neighbours' property. The fact that ancient woodland had been identified in this location is a surprise to our client. Firstly, through their own local knowledge and secondly because this area is not designated as such on the Natural England website. We understand</p>	<p>Natural England's ancient woodland inventory only lists woodlands over 2ha in size. As such Highways England have assessed whether woodland blocks smaller in size than 2ha could reasonably be classed as ancient woodland and therefore warrant appropriate compensation. This assessment has been undertaken with close liaison and agreement with Natural</p>	<p>Not agreed</p>	<p>Not agreed</p>

Issue	Document (if relevant)	Landowner comment	Highways England Response	Status	Agreement likely ¹ (app)
		<p>this area has only recently been designated ancient woodland through the course of consultation meetings between Highways England and Natural England. Such a decision with no input from our client, particularly given the impact this will have on them, is considered irresponsible.</p>	<p>England and has included review of historical maps, and desk and field-based studies to record the characteristics of each of these woodlands.</p> <p>To compensate for the loss or damage of ancient woodland, it has been agreed with Natural England to plant new woodland at a ratio of 7:1. The requirement to provide the compensation planting in connection with existing ancient woodland has limited opportunities to locate compensation planting. The woodland has not been 'designated' as ancient, rather it has been identified as ancient by a fact of its characteristics and historic map regression and as such must be afforded consideration as required by the NPSNN any loss must be compensated accordingly.</p> <p>It should be noted that at every stage in the design opportunities have been sought to minimise the impact of the Scheme on ancient woodland. Following the Scheme changes submitted on 9 October</p>		

Issue	Document (if relevant)	Landowner comment	Highways England Response	Status	Agreement likely ¹ (app)
			<p>2020 being accepted, there is no direct loss of ancient woodland.</p> <p>The land in this holding is required for a highway drainage pond and mix of new woodland and species-rich grassland are to be created in this area to mitigate visual impacts and habitat loss. No ancient woodland compensation is proposed on this land holding.</p>		
Identification of ancient woodland	Landowners response to draft 2 of SoCG issued on 30/10/20 concerning the identification of ancient woodland	Our clients disagree with the 'identification' of ancient woodland at Brookland Farm as we understand this is an area of relatively young trees, they feel the explanation given is unsatisfactory and would be grateful if more evidence could be provided to support this claim.	The area of woodland referred to is recorded on the 1842 OS map and is shown as being continuously wooded from that point onwards. No earlier maps of this area are available. However, with the landform recorded (an increasingly steep ravine) and cohort of woodland flora present this area is considered likely to be ancient woodland. This was discussed and agreed with Natural England as recorded in our Statement of Common Ground with Natural England [APP-221 and subsequent revisions].	Under discussion	Medium

Issue	Document (if relevant)	Landowner comment	Highways England Response	Status	Agreement likely ¹ (app)
Nurton Development	Statutory Consultation response dated 4 July 2019	Our clients' land is included within an area of land being promoted for commercial development by Nurton Developments and it is important that the road scheme is developed in such a way as to be sympathetic to that proposal, and we confirm that we are also supportive of the representations made by Nurton Developments.	<p>The land in question is not allocated in the Local Plan for commercial development and does not benefit from planning permission.</p> <p>Highways England is not able to facilitate such development as part of the Scheme, however, meetings have been held with Nurton Developments to inform them of the Scheme proposals.</p>	Not agreed	Not agreed
	Land agent's Relevant Representation – RR-035	Our clients' land is included within an area of land being promoted for commercial development by Nurton Developments. We understand that the Promoter feels (as our clients do) that there has been a singular lack of positive engagement, which is a pity in that constructive dialogue would be in everyone's best interests as well as use of the land.	<p>Highways England has engaged with Nurton Developments Ltd throughout the process, including meetings and written correspondence, and will continue to do so as appropriate.</p> <p>A SoCG has been drafted with Nurton Developments and has been sent to them for comment.</p>	Under discussion	Medium
Retention of access	Supplementary consultation response	If part of the land is to be returned to our clients', access to the land from the A460 must be retained	The entire landholding is required permanently for construction of the new link road, balancing pond and environmental mitigation. More detail is now available on the land requirements of each plot and has been provided to the landowner as part of supplementary consultation	Under discussion	Medium

Issue	Document (if relevant)	Landowner comment	Highways England Response	Status	Agreement likely ¹ (app)
			<p>on revised Land Plans. In particular, General Arrangement Plans and the draft Environmental Masterplan were provided online in November 2019 to further explain land requirements.</p>		
Justification for land acquisition		<p>The Scheme envisages that much of our clients' land is to be utilised for woodland, this appears to be excessive given the land in question is at the motorway junction and is unlikely to screen residential or other properties from the motorway, hence it is requested that the woodland is minimised to facilitate a larger area of land being returned to our client for agricultural use.</p>	<p>Plot 6/9 is required primarily for the construction of a highway drainage pond which forms part of the drainage design for the Scheme. This plot is also required for environmental mitigation as set out in application document 8.11 'Environmental Mitigation Approach [REP1-057/8.11]'. The woodland proposed in this plot is required to provide an area of strategic planting to reduce views of M6 Junction 11 from the south-west, replace woodland (adjacent to M6 Junction 11) lost during the construction of the Scheme and provide connectivity for bats.</p> <p>The County Ecologist has stated that they would not accept any reduction in woodland planting from that currently shown on the Environmental Masterplan. Highways England therefore does</p>	Under discussion	Medium

Issue	Document (if relevant)	Landowner comment	Highways England Response	Status	Agreement likely ¹ (app)
			not intend to reduce the woodland planting proposed.		
	Land agent's Relevant Representation – RR-035	The Project has an excessive area of land take for Ecological Mitigation with no justification provided.	<p>Highways England disagrees that no justification has been provided on environmental mitigation. This has been set out as clearly as possible as the mitigation has evolved and developed over time and is justified in the Environmental Statement.</p> <p>As per other landowners, a detailed technical note was produced and submitted to the Landowner on 19 August 2020 to describe the rationale behind essential mitigation proposals in respect of the Scheme on land covered by an interest of the Landowner as proposed in Figures 2.1 to 2.7 of the ES [TR010054/APP/6.2]. A further scheme wide document was submitted to the Examining Authority in November 2020 which sets out the requirement for essential mitigation measures across the Scheme, Environmental Mitigation Approach [REP1-057/8.11].</p>	Under discussion	Low

Issue	Document (if relevant)	Landowner comment	Highways England Response	Status	Agreement likely ¹ (app)
			<p>A highway drainage pond and mix of new woodland and species-rich grassland are to be created in this area to provide surface water attenuation and treatment and mitigate visual impacts and habitat loss.</p>		
<p>Scheme reduction in Environmental Mitigation</p>	<p>Land agent's response to second draft SoCG issued on 30/10/20</p>	<p>Land agent notes that there has been a reduction of two other third party landowners and suggests Highways England are attempting to appease other landowners and not their client</p>	<p>Following an assessment of the design changes (accepted by the ExA October 2020) and the results of further ecological surveys, Highways England was able to make a number of amendments to the Environmental Masterplan. Highways England disagrees with the statement that other landowners have been given preferential treatment.</p> <p>Those areas where mitigation has been reduced were identified as the most appropriate with mitigation in these locations often providing a single function. Following the design changes reductions in these areas was made possible without worsening the impacts of the Scheme.</p>	<p>Under discussion</p>	<p>Medium</p>

Issue	Document (if relevant)	Landowner comment	Highways England Response	Status	Agreement likely ¹ (app)
			Plot 6/9 is required for a number of purposes as set out in the technical note provided in August 2020 and Environmental Mitigation Approach [REP1-057/8.11]. The highway drainage pond and woodland planting could not be removed or relocated as they would no longer provide their proposed functions.		
Compensation	Landowner's Relevant Representation – RR-020 & 21	The District Valuer does not pay the market price. He is renowned for paying lower than market price.	Highways England notes the Landowner's comment and confirms that compensation will be payable in accordance with the Compensation Code and will be based on open market value. Highways England notes that the Landowner has instructed a suitably qualified agent to assist in the negotiation of any compensation. Whilst the Landowner have formal representation, we direct them to the Compulsory Purchase and Compensation: Compensation to Agricultural Owners and Occupiers Booklet 3 produced by the Office of the Deputy Prime Minister which can be found here:	Under discussion	Medium

Issue	Document (if relevant)	Landowner comment	Highways England Response	Status	Agreement likely ¹ (app)
			<p>https://assets.publishing.service.gov.uk/government/uploads/attachment_data/file/11489/147645.pdf</p> <p>This guidance confirms sets out that the approach to compensation following compulsory acquisition of land is based on the principle of equivalence. The effects of compulsory purchase on the value of the property/land are ignored when assessing compensation and the level of compensation is directly related to the open market value of the property/land.</p> <p>Highways England has offered to enter into negotiations to purchase land by agreement on 03/10/2019 and 15/09/2020 and is yet to receive a reply.</p>		
Engagement with Highways England	Land agent's Relevant Representation – RR-035	We feel that there has been a lack of consultation with our clients by Highways England and we have not received any meaningful response to the issues in our Consultation Response Letters	Highways England has met with the Landowner and their representatives on a number of occasions throughout the development of the Scheme as detailed within the Record of Engagement table. The Landowner	Under discussion	Medium

Issue	Document (if relevant)	Landowner comment	Highways England Response	Status	Agreement likely ¹ (app)
		<p>sent by us on the 3rd July 2019 and the 11th December 2019 other than a basic acknowledgement and an invitation to a meeting. This highlights the point we make in terms of a lack of proper engagement and why our clients feel that their opinions and concerns are of little importance to Highways England with delivery of the project being their sole priority. Given our clients concerns, at the very least we expected Highways England to have prepared a detailed response in readiness for the meeting which was held on the 25th February 2020. However, it soon became apparent during the meeting that the onus appeared to be on ourselves to lead the conversation.</p>	<p>was consulted as part of the s42 consultation in May 2019 and the supplementary consultation in November 2019. Highways England's responses to the consultation responses were provided in Annex P of the Consultation Report [APP-039/5.2] submitted as part of the application. Highways England will continue to engage with the Landowner via their appointed agents during the course of the examination as may be necessary.</p> <p>In addition, Highways England issued two draft SoCGs and environmental mitigation technical approach documents to both the Landowner and their representative, addressing issues raised in all consultations.</p>		
	<p>Response received on 10/12/2020 in relation to second draft SoCG</p>	<p>Landowner is concerned that no acknowledgement or response to consultation response received on 04/07/2019 for the period of eight months until meeting on 25/02/2020.</p>	<p>As previously addressed in the above Highways England response. Highways England met with the landowner and their agent (NB & NWD) on 06/09/2019 to address points raised within the landowner's consultation response received on 04/07/2019.</p>	<p>Under discussion</p>	<p>Medium</p>

Issue	Document (if relevant)	Landowner comment	Highways England Response	Status	Agreement likely ¹ (app)
			<p>Highways England has subsequently met with the landowner or their agent on two further occasions.</p> <p>Full details of all correspondence between Highways England and the landowner are shown within the Record of Engagement (Table (2-1) within this SoCG.</p>		
Articles and Requirements	N/A	N/A	<p>Highways England has not received any comments on the Articles or Requirements on the draft DCO from the Landowner.</p>	Under discussion	High

Appendix A - Details of personnel referenced in this SoCG

Initials	Name	Role or Discipline	Organisation
AK	Andrew Kelly	Project Manager	Highways England
BJ	Barry Jones	Landowner	N/A
BK	Bruton Knowles	Land agency firm representing landowner	Bruton Knowles
HE	Highways England	Scheme Promoter	Highways England
IM	Ian Mercer	Land agent	Bruton Knowles
JH	Jon Harvey	Stakeholder manager	AECOM
NB	Nigel Billingsley	Land agent	Bruton Knowles
NWD	Nia Wyn Davies	Land agent	Bruton Knowles
PH	Patrick Hackett	Land agent	Bruton Knowles
RR	Rob Ramshaw	Project Manager	AECOM
SB	Sam Blaize	Principal Surveyor	Gateley Hamer
SD	Simon Davis	District Valuer	Valuation Office Agency
TB	Tom Bennett	Previous stakeholder Manager	Amey
VJ	Valerie Jones	Landowner	N/A